# **Eminent Domain**

Diana Norris, Esq., In-House Counsel, The Piedmont Environmental Council ELI SUMMER SCHOOL SERIES JUNE 19, 2018



### Outline

- United States Constitution Takings Clause of the Fifth Amendment
- Kelo v. City of New London (545 U.S. 469)
- Article I Section 11 of the Virginia Constitution
- Public Service Companies
  - Case Study: Atlantic Coast Pipeline

### United States Constitution Takings Clause of the Fifth Amendment

- "[N]or shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation."
- Power (and limitation on) of the government to "take" private property for public use

# Kelo v. City of New London 545 U.S. 469 (2005)

- City of New London authorized an "integrated development plan" to revitalize area
  - 90 different Fort Trumbull landowners
  - No claim of blight
  - Through statute, City of New London authorized New London Development Corporation(private body) to condemn neighborhood
  - 15 landowners refused to sell: many with deep roots in the community
  - Connecticut Supreme Court upheld all 15 takings

#### Kelo cont'd

U.S. Supreme Court upheld the takings in 5-4 ruling in interpretation of the Connecticut Statute

- Public use interpreted as a "public purpose" negating the need for immediate use by the public and broadening the term
- Economic and private development as a public purpose
- Deference to legislative bodies' decisions on takings
- And today.....

### Constitution of Virginia

- In response to Kelo, Virginia amended its Constitution to state:
  - Article I Section 11: That the General Assembly shall pass no law whereby private property, the right to which is fundamental, shall be damaged or taken except for public use. No private property shall be damaged or taken for public use without just compensation to the owner thereof. No more private property may be taken than necessary to achieve the stated public use. Just compensation shall be no less than the value of the property taken, lost profits and lost access, and damages to the residue caused by the taking. The terms "lost profits" and "lost access" are to be defined by the General Assembly. A public service company, public service corporation, or railroad exercises the power of eminent domain for public use when such exercise is for the authorized provision of utility, common carrier, or railroad services. In all other cases, a taking or damaging of private property is not for public use if the primary use is for private gain, private benefit, private enterprise, increasing jobs, increasing tax revenue, or economic development, except for the elimination of a public nuisance existing on the property. The condemnor bears the burden of proving that the use is public, without a presumption that it is.
- Title 25.1 Definitions of lost access and lost profits

## Public Service Companies: Case Study: Atlantic Coast Pipeline

- Atlantic Coast Pipeline
  - 600 miles Route: Northern West Virginia to eastern Virginia and North Carolina Status: 125 foot right-ofway, 11,755 acres of land—6136 acres of forest
  - \$5.5 billion project developed by four energy companies — Dominion Resources Inc., Duke Energy Corp., Piedmont Natural Gas Co. Inc. and Southern Company Gas — to deliver Mid-Atlantic shale gas to local markets in Virginia and North Carolina
  - Cost of project is passed down to customers

#### ACP Cont'd



Map source: Institute for Energy Economics and Financial Analysis

### ACP cont'd



Photo Courtesy of Dominion Pipeline Monitoring Coalition—Giles County



#### ACP cont'd

- ▶ The Natural Gas Act 15 U.S.C. §§ 717 to 717Z
  - Provides that a natural gas company must obtain a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission which regulates construction of interstate pipelines
  - ▶ 15 U.S.C. § 717f(h) provides natural gas companies holding CPCNs condemnation powers to obtain easements for pipelines
  - FERC: October 2017 issued CPCN for ACP
    - Commissioner LaFleur dissented

#### ACP cont'd

- In the Matter of Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC and Dominion Transmission Inc.
  - ► FERC Docket No. CP 15-554-000, 15-554-001, 15-554-002, 15-555-000, 15-555-001:
  - Lack of substantial evidence demonstrating need→ reliance on precedent agreements
  - 2. Deficient EIS: alleged violation of NEPA
  - Violation of NGA: grant CPCN (and condemnation powers)
    when all state permits have not been obtained
  - 4. Other claims such as Due Process and constitutional challenges

### ACP continued

And today.....

# Thank you!

#### Diana Norris, Esq. In-House Counsel

The Piedmont Environmental Council, www.pecva.org

Address: PO Box 460, 45 Horner Street, Warrenton, VA 20188

office: 540-347-2334 ext. 7092

cell: 540-422-9922

